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<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>Application Programming Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIMT</td>
<td>Community Land Intervention Monitoring and Management Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESRI</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>UN Food and Agriculture Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA</td>
<td>Forestry Development Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOIA</td>
<td>Freedom of Information Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIS</td>
<td>Geographic Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GoL</td>
<td>Government of Liberia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>Geographic Positioning System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IATI</td>
<td>International Aid Transparency Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCCS</td>
<td>Land Cover Classification System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEITI</td>
<td>Liberia Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LISGIS</td>
<td>Liberia Institute of Statistics and Geo-information Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLA</td>
<td>Liberia Land Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRA</td>
<td>Liberia Revenue Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRL</td>
<td>Land Rights Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFDP</td>
<td>Ministry of Finance and Development Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MME</td>
<td>Ministry of Mines and Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoFA</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoJ</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBC</td>
<td>National Bureau of Concessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSSD</td>
<td>National Statistical and Spatial Database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSSDS</td>
<td>National Statistical and Spatial Data System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGP</td>
<td>Open Government Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Openness Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPD</td>
<td>Pro-Poor Agenda for Prosperity and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REDD+</td>
<td>Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRG</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOLI</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNEP</td>
<td>UN Environment Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNFCCC</td>
<td>UN Framework Convention on Climate Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URI</td>
<td>Unique Resource Identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRI</td>
<td>World Resources Institute</td>
</tr>
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Introduction

Land rights are fundamental sources of tension in Liberia, so transparency about land information is both essential and highly politicized. The Truth and Reconciliation Commission\(^1\) and other scholars\(^2\) have documented land conflict as one of the major causes of the 14 year civil conflict (1989-2003) in Liberia, rooted in the country’s formation in the 19th Century as a land divided by indigenous peoples and freed slaves settling from the United States.

The exploitation of Liberia’s natural resources through concession agreements adds an extra layer to these tensions. Current expropriations for mining, logging, and industrial agriculture concessions, plus proposed and formalized protected areas, lay claim to 65% of Liberia’s total land area. At the same time 73% of the land is subject to customary land rights. Unless resolved through progressive approaches to land use, such as community forestry and community-based conservation, this sets the stage for further land conflict.

Liberia’s 1986 constitution\(^3\) provides for access to government information, yet, despite having passed a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)\(^4\) over a decade ago, public access to government information was generally poor. Assessments conducted by others can be summarized as follows:

- In the Global Data Barometer Liberia scored seven out of a hundred in the land module (2021). This compares to a global average of 25/100. Liberia scored zero for the openness of its land tenure data, 12 for its land use data and 15 for its gender and inclusion uses of data.\(^5\)

- The Open Data Watch Liberia inventory (2020) gave a score of 39/100, which ranks the country 13\(^{th}\) out of 15 countries assessed in West Africa. The inventories assess coverage and openness of official statistics (including two indicators on agricultural land use and the built environment) that may be found on websites such as the Liberia Institute of Statistics and Geo-information Services\(^6\) (LISGIS, see Land Development Data below) or other websites linked to it.

---

5. Global Data Barometer; retrieved on 12 July 2023; [https://glob.databarometer.org/module/land](https://glob.databarometer.org/module/land/).
• **LANDex**, the global land governance index supported by the International Land Coalition and “based on common people-centered indicators” produced a baseline county assessment for Liberia in 2022. This gives Liberia an overall score of 56.7/100, similar to Senegal, but is only based on six out of a possible 33 indicators (from 2018). Surprisingly, it states “the commitment on secure territorial rights for indigenous people was found not to be relevant in the Liberian context”.9

There is evidence that data collection and management systems are improving, and digitized, spatial information does exist within some government agencies. The FOIA includes the obligation on public bodies to proactively disseminate information, and some have sought to do this: there is a comprehensive mining cadaster, and the forest authority has in the past had downloadable spatial data and volumes of documents relating to each permit (since removed). Conversely, the **Liberia Land Authority (LLA)** offers very little information, despite high ambitions, and all authorities are subject to financial and political pressure from the central government.

---

7 LANDex; retrieved 12 July 2023; www.landexglobal.org/en/country/430/.
8 LANDex; retrieved 12 July 2023; www.landexglobal.org/en/about/.
10 LLA; accessed 12 July 2023 from https://lla.gov.lr/
State of Data Governance in Liberia

Although Liberia signed up to the Open Government Partnership (OGP)\(^{11}\) more than ten years ago, progress has been slow and there is little legal basis underpinning data governance, openness, protection or cybercrime.

The current (2020-22) OGP three-year action plan\(^{12}\) includes commitments to a wide range of data elements. Unlike in the previous 2017-2019 action plan, there are no explicit references to land, although beneficial ownership and open contracting have important contributions to make to land information and governance. Both were included in the previous three-year action plan (2017-2019)\(^{13}\) but negligible progress was made, and the country is considered “exceptionally secretive” by the Financial Secrecy Index\(^{14}\).

Liberia does not have a data protection law. The International Association of Privacy Professionals “is unaware of data protection legislation in Liberia”\(^{15}\). Onetrust, a leading provider of advice and information on data protection compliance, also states Liberia does not have a privacy law, even in draft form, and does not list the country in its catalogue of Data Guidance Notes\(^{16}\).

Likewise, there is no cyber security law. The Council of Europe Octopus Cybercrime Community reports “Liberia has no legislation on cybercrime... Liberia has no legislation on privacy and data protection”\(^{17}\).

The Ministry of Foreign Affairs (MoFA) is responsible for archiving all new legislation (including regulations), once passed, and for printing it in handbills for distribution. This is backed by Liberia’s Legislative Law\(^{18}\), but the MoFA does not provide any online catalogue of Liberia’s laws. Nor do other institutions such as the office of the president\(^{19}\).

---

\(^{11}\) OGP; retrieved on 2 May 2023 from [www.opengovpartnership.org/](http://www.opengovpartnership.org/).
\(^{15}\) International Association of Privacy Professionals; last updated in December 2021, retrieved on 12 July 2023; [https://iapp.org/resources/global-privacy-directory/](https://iapp.org/resources/global-privacy-directory/).
\(^{16}\) Onetrust; retrieved on 12 July 2023; [www.dataguidance.com/search/notes/country/africa](http://www.dataguidance.com/search/notes/country/africa).
\(^{17}\) Council of Europe Octopus Cybercrime Community; retrieved on 12 July 2023; [www.coe.int/en/web/octopus/country-wiki-ap/-/asset_publisher/CmDb7M4RGb4Z/content/liberia](http://www.coe.int/en/web/octopus/country-wiki-ap/-/asset_publisher/CmDb7M4RGb4Z/content/liberia).
\(^{19}\) Executive Mansion; retrieved on 12 July 2023; [www.emansion.gov.lr/](http://www.emansion.gov.lr/).
or the legislature, whose website appears to have been defunct since 2016 but prior to that did list legislation. The US Library of Congress’s Guide to Law Online: Liberia links to international non-government websites which list free online legal resources related to the legislative branch of Liberia’s government.

### Legal Framework on Access to Information

Liberia passed the **FOIA** on 16 September 2010, significantly earlier than many other countries in Africa. However, according to local media as of January 2022 the Independent Information Commission was barely functioning, and its website had closed. The Act can be found on the websites of the Ministry of Justice (MoJ) and the Liberia Land Authority (LLA) as well as many international websites. It makes provision for the “right of access to information include[ing] both (1) a right to request and receive information, and (2) an obligation on the part of public bodies and officials to disseminate essential information that the public would generally want to know”.

### Legal Framework on Access to Land Information

Land issues in Liberia are overseen by the **LLA**, established in 2016 and mandated to “create and administer, or cause to be created and administered, an accurate and complete database of all landholdings and rights in land in Liberia” and to “provide to the public on request information on land holdings and interests in land, which shall be public information under the Freedom of Information Act”.

The **Land Rights Law (LRL)**, passed in 2018, is the single-most important piece of legislation in Liberia concerning land governance, land administration and land use and management. These functions include the registration of deeds in the deed’s registry, surveying and the national cadaster, land valuation and the adjudication of disputes.

In a move regarded as highly progressive for the region, the Act codifies the customary right...
of communities to own land collectively. The Act includes provisions for land transactions to be published, along with an inventory of all public land. It also requires community land committees to be accountable to and report to the wider community, including on decisions on the allocation of land and residential plots within community held land. Since the passage of the law, considerable investment has been made to support the formalization of community title deeds, although progress is slow.

Prior to the passage of the LRL, the previous (2017-19) OGP Action Plan included a specific commitment to “improve transparency in the Land Authority”, including making publicly available data on land rights, land deeds and certificates, and geographic positioning system (GPS) coordinates of indigenous and community land ownership information. The subsequent end of term assessment, however, concluded “this commitment failed to meaningfully change Liberians’ access to land information” as land rights data is not yet publicly available online. The current OGP Action Plan has not continued this commitment.

The Local Government Act, which was passed on the same day in 2018 as the LRL, has a chapter on land governance that devolves two functions from the LLA to local government – survey services and land registration services, including updating and storage of land records – provided it is trained to do so by the LLA. A simplified guide to the act states the local government provides zoning and land use permits and land deed registration, and can also collect land taxes. However, it also states land governance and administration is “exclusively reserved for the central government to ensure the continuous existence of the unitary form of governance and to protect the sovereignty of the state”.

---


State of Land Data and Information in Liberia

This section assesses the completeness of land data and information in Liberia across five categories: legal framework, land tenure, use, development, and value. It assesses the completeness of the information and scores the data as being fully, partially, little, or not complete.

The LLA is primarily responsible for administration of land management functions including the cadaster, land records, geodetic reference network and an integrated land information framework, including customary land. The Liberia Land Administration Project sought to support an inventory and analysis of Tribal Land Certificates and develop a Land Administration System but with limited progress. The Forestry Development Authority (FDA) is responsible for forest management data and information while spatial and attribute data on mining concessions is managed by the Ministry of Mines and Energy (MME). The National Bureau of Concessions (NBC) publishes data for agricultural plantations as well as logging, mining, and protected areas.

Completeness of Legal and Policy Data and Information on Land

A national Land Rights Policy, which covers public, government, private land as well as formally recognizing community ownership of land and forests, was finalized in 2013, and formed the basis for the substantive LRL, passed in 2018. This, for the first time in Liberia’s history, legally protects community land rights. Both these documents are available on the LLA website, as is the key implementation text, the Land Rights Regulations, approved in 2022. The LLA website also provides a collection of policy briefs and consultation process reports that informed the development of the LRL, and a collection of laws for related sectors such as freedom of information, forest, mining, and environmental protection. There are also a range of guides and codes, as well as some land-related laws in force before the LRL was passed, and likely, therefore, to be obsolete. While the LLA has some county (subnational) offices, and its website includes a ‘one-stop shop’ page, neither detailed policies, procedures, guides or templates, nor contact details and locations of county offices, could be found online. Liberia scores 83 out of 100 for the completeness of its legal and policy data and information on land.

LLA Services; accessed 29 April 2023; https://lla.gov.lr/index.php/services#.
Legal and Policy Data and Information on Land: Fully Complete (83)

Completeness of Land Tenure Data

Digital tenure data for Liberia is very incomplete and little is available on public websites. What there is tends to be as visual map representations, not downloadable datasets, for example, the Community Land Intervention Monitoring and Management Tool (CLIMT) digital tenure data for Liberia is very incomplete and little is available on public websites. What there is tends to be as visual map representations, not downloadable datasets, for example, the Community Land Intervention Monitoring and Management Tool (CLIMT) map of customary land formalization, the FDA map of forest management permits, and the NBC map of extractive industries concessions. It is dispersed across a number of government and other websites. There are no publicly available deeds register or land register.

LLA has key responsibility for this, but “remember it has just begun the process of going digital” according to a civil society actor supporting communities to formalize their tenure rights. Liberia scores 30 out of 100 for the completeness of its digital land tenure data.

Land Tenure Data: Slightly Complete (30)

The LLA brought together the functions of a number of other agencies, and has responsibility for the national cadaster. Specific tasks include, inter alia: custody and preservation of records relating to the survey of any parcel of land, developing and maintaining the national geodetic reference network, establishing and maintaining an integrated land information system, facilitating activities for recognition of the land rights of communities, and developing and managing a customary land information database.

The only online spatial data available from LLA is the CLIMT, which tracks progress in the roll-out of customary land formalization. It provides a visualization of spatial data and some attributes for each parcel, but the site is copyrighted to the Cadasta Foundation and the data is not downloadable for unregistered users. The dashboard page indicates 19 out of the 146 parcels monitored have had their borders officially approved.

A civil society actor supporting communities to formalize their tenure rights made the personal comment, “remember it has just begun the process of going digital”.

Documents on the LLA website include nine Tribal Certificate Reports from 2013-15.

prior to the passing of the LRL. Tribal Certificates are a step towards obtaining a Public Land Sale Deed, a private title deed on a parcel of public land in rural areas. They now require re-validation under the LRL. The data is far from complete and available only in text documents: the nine reports inventory over a thousand certificates from just 18 traditional clan areas in two of Liberia’s 15 counties. The average area of each is relatively small, at 122 hectares. Reports on two clans are dedicated to inventorying women title holders. The LRL provides equal tenure rights for men and women and promotes a gender balance in local land governance institutions. Yet, deep-rooted patriarchal norms have evolved over many generations and will take time to change. As gendered responsibilities and low education impede women’s representation, women often lack time, knowledge, or confidence to join committees or speak up in public meetings.

Liberia has a significant proportion of land under concession, including for logging, agricultural plantations, and mining. It also has a number of large, protected areas, and more are proposed. In 2013 Rights and Resources Group (RRG) estimated 5.1 million hectares were under concession. In addition, 1.2 million hectares are gazetted or proposed protected areas. Together these represent over 65% of the country’s total land area. More recently, RRG estimated 7 million hectares, or 73% of Liberia’s land area is already “owned by Indigenous Peoples, Afro-descendant Peoples, and local communities.” Furthermore, the LRL makes it clear that community land ownership is valid and enforceable without registration or completion of a survey.

The FDA in partnership with the World resources Institute (WRI) has, since at least 2016, provided spatial and attribute data on forest management permits in a Forest Atlas. Since about 2020 this has been locked behind a login requirement to ArcGIS Online. Some on-screen maps and downloadable tabular data on community forestry permits can be accessed without a login, but the equivalent webpage for larger concessions is not functioning. In the case of community forests, some 60 permit areas are included, ten more than had actually been approved at the time, suggesting some (but not all) applicant permits

50 FDA & WRI; retrieved 17 July 2023 from https://lbr.forest-atlas.org/map.
51 FDA & WRI; retrieved 17 July 2023 from https://lbr.forest-atlas.org/dashboard/communityforestsstatistics.
52 FDA & WRI; retrieved 17 July 2023 from https://lbr.forest-atlas.org/dashboard/fmc-statistics.
have been included. An interactive map published by Global Forest Watch includes five community forests, and an ArcGIS version has 20. The Global Forest Watch interactive map includes six of the seven larger concessions and the ArcGIS version has 12, including a set of proposed permit areas.

Spatial and attribute data on mining concessions is available online through a dedicated portal held by the MME. It covers all of Liberia and lists over 7,000 permits (of which some 900 are active) for mining the full range of minerals, and all the steps involved from exploration to trading. It appears to offer downloads of spatial and attribute data, but only through a login. Revenue Development Foundation, the organization providing technical assistance for the portal, states the “design is refining a standard set”.

The NBC in partnership with Trimble, a private spatial data provider, have developed a Liberia National Concession Portal, a map visualization and attribute data in pop-ups for agricultural plantations as well as logging, mining, and protected areas, but none of the information is downloadable. The website states that it pulls live data from other NBC and/or GoL sources, but there is no evidence it has done this since 2016 and OGP reported in 2017 that “information has not been uploaded to the website due to the delay in the passage of the Land Rights Act”.

The World Bank supported a Liberia Land Administration Project between 2018 and January 2023 that sought to support development of an inventory and analysis of Tribal Land Certificates and development of a Land Administration System. A supporting document notes maps would appear to exist only in paper form. A report near the end of the project notes limited progress on customary land formalization and while the national geodetic reference network has been established there is no evidence of any current digital maps.

No online data could be found on smaller parcels such as farms, households, businesses, industry, or government property. Metria and GeoVille (see next section) produced a roads map in 2016 but this is not available online.
Completeness of Land Use Data

Although the Government of Liberia has invested donor funds into high-quality and detailed land cover and land use mapping, the digital maps do not appear to be openly available. The mapping exercise, originally in 2014 and updated in 2019, was driven by Liberia’s commitment to Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD+) and concentrates on forest and other large land cover and land uses. It is not accompanied by any land use zoning, enforcement, or public land inventory data. Liberia scores 17 for the completeness of its land use data.

Land Use Data: Not Complete (17)

Metria AB and GeoVille GmbH were commissioned63 by the FDA in 2014-15 to produce a high-resolution land and forest cover and use map and subsequently to update it in 2016 with newly available imagery for REDD+ stock taking and for future monitoring of carbon sequestration.64 They updated it a second time in 2019, to be consistent with Liberia’s National Forest Definition established in 2018 as part of Liberia’s commitment to mitigating climate change.65 Their report of this work states “in this new definition, Liberia recognizes the contribution of industrial agricultural plantations to national economy”,66 but chose to exclude them from its forest definition. The reports contain some maps and tables to give a visual impression of the digital spatial data generated.

Metria and GeoVille’s 2019 report states “All datasets are delivered in digital GIS-ready format (GeoTiff for raster, shp, kml, kmz for vector) with ISO conform [sic] metadata for the map product”,67 but this means delivered to the Government of Liberia only, as the datasets are not available online and no link or other information about how to access them is included in their reports. This differs from the 2016 publication by Metria and GeoVille: when asked, an independent researcher working on land rights issues in Liberia said, “I have a copy of the 2016 map and, from memory, it used to be downloadable [but is no longer] but that doesn’t seem to be the case for the updated map”.68

---

There is no evidence of a definitive set of land use classes for Liberia. One set can be found alongside its National Forest Definition in Liberia’s 2019 forest emissions baseline submission to UNFCCC. This may not constitute a full set of land use classes, however, as it uses the blanket term “non-forest” land use for croplands, grasslands, wetlands and settlements. It provides: four types of forest cover (but none on forest or conservation management); nine croplands and grasslands; three wetlands; and two ‘settlements’ (comprising settlements and mining areas). Alternatively, the Metria and GeoVille reports have: five classes of forest cover; ten classes of “rural and agriculture”, differentiating between smallholder and industrial scales; and four classes of infrastructure: settlements, roads, tracks, and railways. It states this has been “oriented at the global LCCS (Land Cover Classification System) standard, originally adopted by FAO/UNEP” but points out the emphasis is on cover as distinct from use.

The LLA has the mandate to “promote, support and ensure the development of land use plans, and zoning schemes and their implementation through municipalities, towns, and other local government structures” but there is no evidence it has so far produced open digital data from this work.

The UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) global land cover data includes a specific dataset for Liberia and appears to be the only such dataset that is openly available. It is based on a Globcover database of 2005 and has not been updated since.

**Completeness of Land Development Data**

The available data on land development, enforcement, expropriation, and conflict is hidden in reports. It tends to be in text (not tables), out of date, and/or incomplete (focused only on certain aspects of the elements above). Liberia does have a national development planning system, currently termed the Pro-Poor Agenda for Prosperity and Development (PAPD), and this commits to spatially integrated multi-sectoral planning but there is no evidence on public websites to show progress towards this. Data on expropriations for extractive industry concessions and protected areas is partially available – see Land Tenure section above. It is widely known that land rights were a major underlying cause for Liberia’s civil conflict between 1989 and 2003. Some data on land disputes is available from 2006, and although Supreme Court rulings up to 2017 are online there does not appear to be a way to filter for rulings regarding land issues. **Liberia scores 15 for the completeness of its land development data.**

---

72 FAO; retrieved 17 July 2023 from [https://data.apps.fao.org/catalog/dataset/68f12101-c75a-45d0-9db5-5bb49859040d/resource/332f72fa-89df-4902-9a3c-e4a5b169ac08](https://data.apps.fao.org/catalog/dataset/68f12101-c75a-45d0-9db5-5bb49859040d/resource/332f72fa-89df-4902-9a3c-e4a5b169ac08).
73 European Space Agency; retrieved 17 July 2023 from [http://due.esrin.esa.int/page_globcover.php](http://due.esrin.esa.int/page_globcover.php).
Development of and progress in national development plans is the responsibility of the Ministry of Finance and Development Planning (MFDP) in collaboration with other government institutions. The plans and reports are available and although they contain a lot of data it is not presented as datasets. The current (2018-23) plan, the PAPD states implementation "will mark a paradigm shift from sectoral based national development planning to a spatial [sic] integrated multi sectoral planning", but no progress reports are available and there is no evidence this is being done. The Monrovia City Corporation responsible for Liberia’s capital city, has no reports or data on its website.

In support of the PAPD, the LISGIS has as its goals:

Establish, develop, and maintain a holistic National Statistical and Spatial Data System (NSSDS) and an integrated National Statistical and Spatial Database (NSSD); and

Coordinate, monitor and supervise the NSSDS and NSSD to allow for the provision of holistic gender and geographic sensitive analysis for timely, relevant, and acceptable standards of information to institutions of the Government, the business, and the wider national and international communities.

LISGIS also has a mandate to collect data on “land tenure and the occupation and use of land” but it provides very little information online. It has an online information request form that requires the applicant to state why they need the information. It is hard to know what information it holds in order to then ask for it. This also appears to undermine the FOIA, which states “there is no need whatsoever for a person requesting information to provide a reason or justification”.

The MFDP is also responsible for the annual budget, which includes a line for PAPD (national development plan) implementation; in budget year 2023 this was US$ 422,564, or 0.05% of the country’s budget.

The Liberia Bank for Development and Investment describes itself as a Development Finance Institution (alongside being a commercial and retail bank) but its website provides no further information or data on its non-commercial development services or investments.

The International Aid Transparency Initiative (IATI) lists 3,759 projects in Liberia since

77 Monrovia City Corporation retrieved 17 July 2023 from https://monrovia.gov.lr/.
1969 funded by a range of overseas development assistance agencies. Data is uploaded to IATI by individual providers of overseas development assistance. It offers tools to gather indicators, analyze them, and export them into multiple formats, including via an application programming interface (API), but it has a limited ability to filter only those projects related to land development.

Within the LLA, the **Program Planning Division** is responsible for development planning and the **Land Valuation Division** is responsible for assessing the compensation payable upon the acquisition of land by the Government. Neither offer any online data. Where land is expropriated for extractive industry concessions or protected areas the 2022 **Land Rights Regulations** lay out the procedure for assessing compensation to and resettlement of affected people. Given that most of the current concessions were allocated many years before the passing of these regulations it is unclear how compensation or resettlement following land expropriation has been dealt with and no data has been found to support this. The Government of Liberia has recently increased its appetite for expropriation of land for protected areas and **sought carbon finance** to support this, highlighting the need for reliable data on land rights. Maps from the **Environmental Protection Agency** indicate five designated protected areas, and another 12 proposed, and equivalent data is available in spreadsheet and shapefile downloads from the **World Database of Protected Areas**.

The Ministry of Justice does not currently provide data on land conflicts in court rulings, although it has a holding page for this. The International Legal Information Institute provides a database of **Liberia Supreme Court rulings** and is currently uploading data for 2017, but there does not appear to be a way to filter for rulings regarding land issues.

Tabular data on property and land disputes, from 2006, is published in a book on forest and land rights. In a study of over 10,000 communities across the whole country, more than 2,000 conflicts were identified, of which 13% were land or property-related (75% concerned assault). The report explains that cases are predominantly resolved through local customary means due to the high cost of going to court and the weak position community members feel if the dispute is with the government, political-business elites, or companies. The report states, “A high proportion of cases remain on court books for years and even decades”.

---

90 UNEP-WCMC and IUCN (2023), Protected Planet: The World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA) and World Database on Other Effective Area-based Conservation Measures (WD-OECM); retrieved 8 August 2023 from [https://www.protectedplanet.net/country/LBR](https://www.protectedplanet.net/country/LBR).
92 Legal Information Institute retrieved 17 July 2023 from [www.liberlii.org/lr/cases/LRSC/](http://www.liberlii.org/lr/cases/LRSC/).
Land disputes have reportedly increased since the passage of the LRL.\textsuperscript{95} As explained in the Land Tenure section above, concessions and protected areas lay claim to 65% of Liberia, while 73% is subject to customary land rights. Unless resolved through progressive approaches to land use, such as community forestry and community-based conservation this sets the stage for further land conflict.

The Liberia Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (LEITI), established through a \textbf{2009 law}, has as one of its objectives the promotion of "public disclosure of contracts and concessions bearing relationship with the extraction of forest and mineral resources".\textsuperscript{96} Since 2009 its \textbf{annual report} of the payments by concession-holders to the state provides a company-by-company register of licenses, concession area, information on fees and taxes to various state authorities, beneficial ownership, and exports.

The most recent report covers the financial year 2020-21 and is not available from LEITI but is from the \textbf{EITI International Secretariat}.\textsuperscript{98} Both sites also offer data in open formats. The most recent data available from LEITI is the 2018-19 user-friendly \textbf{spreadsheet submission to EITI}, which includes the instruction "\textit{Filling in this summary data template with EITI Report data will make your EITI Report data accessible in a machine-readable format (requirement 7.2.d).} ‘Make the EITI Report available in an open data format (xlsx or csv) online and publicize its availability’ – EITI Requirement 7.1.c."\textsuperscript{99} The EITI International Secretariat offers more recent (2019-20) and multi-year data on revenues reported by \textbf{government} and by \textbf{company}, albeit in a more machine-readable and less user-friendly spreadsheet format.\textsuperscript{100}

LEITI’s \textbf{2019-20} report indicates that the industries contributed over US$ 70 million in revenue, split between the mining (64%), agricultural commodities (25%), logging (10%) and oil & gas (1%) sectors.\textsuperscript{101} This is dependent on the companies self-reporting (subsequently reconciled with government data and audited) so is often incomplete. For example, between 70 - 90% of companies (depending on the sector) did not provide information on the ultimate – beneficial – company owners.\textsuperscript{102}

\begin{flushleft}
\textbf{publications/2008_So_Who_Owns_the_Forest%20%28FullReport%29.pdf}
\end{flushleft}
\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{95}Front Page Africa, 15 July 21, Land Title Authorities Overwhelmed by Disputes following Land Rights Act; retrieved 17 July 2023; \url{https://frontpageafricaonline.com/front-slider/liberia-land-title-authorities-overwhelmed-by-disputes-following-land-rights-act/}
\end{flushleft}
\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{96}GoL, 2009, An Act Establishing the Liberia Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (LEITI); Clause 3.2.f; retrieved 10 July 2023; \url{www.leiti.org.lr/sites/default/files/documents/act.pdf}
\end{flushleft}
\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{97}LEITI retrieved 17 July 2023 from \url{www.leiti.org.lr/index.php/publications/document-type/leiti-reports}
\end{flushleft}
\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{98}EITI Liberia; accessed 20 September 2023; \url{https://eiti.org/countries/liberia}
\end{flushleft}
\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{99}LEITI, 2021, 12th Report Consolidated Data Sheet; retrieved 20 September 2023; \url{www.leiti.org.lr/sites/default/files/documents/FY2019-2020%20Liberia%20Summary%20Data%20202.0.xlsx}
\end{flushleft}
\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{100}EITI Liberia, 2022, Government revenues; retrieved 20 September 2023; \url{https://eiti.org/sites/default/files/bulk-export/government_revenue_streams-LR.csv}
\end{flushleft}
\begin{flushleft}
\end{flushleft}
\begin{flushleft}
Completeness of Land Value Data

There is almost no transparency around land or property valuation data. The Liberia Revenue Authority (LRA) advises that properties are self-assessed on an as needed basis and no regular land value assessments are collected or published. In contrast, responsibility for valuing land and buildings for taxation and other purposes lies with the LLA. **Liberia scores 14 for the completeness of its land value data.**

**Land Value Data: Not Complete (14)**

The **LLA Act 2016** mandates the LLA to “value land and buildings for the Authority’s land registry systems, in collaboration with the relevant agencies of Government and which valuation may be used for taxation and other purposes”. Within the Authority, the **Land Valuation Division** is responsible for valuing land and buildings for taxation and other purposes and for determining the value of properties rented, purchased, sold by or to the government.

Alongside this, the **LRA** provides guidelines for valuation for the self-assessment of property taxes regarding buildings and farmland, along with a **Real Property Tax Estimator**. The guidelines state the annual taxes rates are 0.25% of the property value for residential property or 1.5% for income producing property. The LRA further advises that properties are self-assessed on an as needed basis and no regular land value assessments are collected or published. Neither of these agencies provide data on land valuations. The LRA also advertises a **smartphone app** for property tax payments, but at the time of research this could not be found on the Google Play website.

The MFDP’s **annual budgets** include data on the actual revenue generated from property tax in the previous year, and forecast for the current and future years but only as bibliographic information. The 2023 budget provides an estimated US$5.1 million for 2022 and an actual US$5.6 million in the previous year. The estimate for 2023 is US$ 5.2 million.

---


The LEITI reports include a section on revenue allocation which only summarizes the law that "all public funds, in the form of taxes, grants, loans and other finances are required to be deposited into the consolidated fund or government treasury".  

The Criminal Land Conveyance Act 2014 protects against the sale of land by those not holding title to it, concurrent sale to more than one person, and sale of land to non-Liberians.

Liberian private real-estate agents such as Kaikana provide some data on sales and rental values, although the listings are not dated and land area is variously described in acres or in 'lots', so it is difficult to obtain a clear picture of values or trends.

Other Relevant Land Data Types

A number of the websites described above offer map visualizations of administrative boundaries, for example the CLIMT, Forest Atlas and Liberia National Concession Portal. None offer downloadable spatial data. The Electoral Commission provides a one-page downloadable pdf of each electoral district, along with county and administrative boundaries (which may differ from electoral ones). Downloadable spatial data for administrative boundaries, roads, rivers etc. is available for registered ArcGIS users from international sources through ArcHub.

LISGIS, the national statistics agency, conducted a national census in November 2022, and announced provisional results in February 2023, although it is yet to make these or other results available online. As this is an election year, however, the announcement has provoked some controversy over the relationship between population and constituency boundaries. The constituencies were last demarcated in 2010 and there are calls for reviewing them prior to the general election in October 2023 in order to reflect the current spatial distribution of population as this has implications for the vote as well as for subsequent subventions of state funds to local administrations. This further demonstrates the political sensitivity and contested nature of land data.

---

114 This section provides a brief overview of related land data uncovered in the course of this research, but it should not be considered as comprehensive, and it is not considered in the data assessment for Liberia.
Open Data Compliance Assessment in Liberia

Liberia’s SOLIndex Score

State of Land Information (SOLI) reports assess and score the completeness and openness of the information about a country’s legal framework for land governance, its land tenure data, land use data, land development data and its land value data. The report examines each land data type in detail, identifying which elements are available in a digital format, how these comply with international open data criteria and assesses their completeness and openness. From these results a country’s SOLIndex score is calculated. Liberia has a completeness score (CS) of 32 and an openness score (OS) of 31. The overall SOLIndex score for Liberia is 9.9 (32CS x 32OS)/100.

Detailed SOLIndex Scoring Results

### Completeness Scoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCORE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>All land legislation, tenure, use, development, or value data is digital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A substantial amount of land legislation, tenure, use, development, or value data is digital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Little land legislation, tenure, use, development, or value data is digital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No land legislation, tenure, use, development, or value data is digital</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Completeness Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPLETENESS SCORES FOR EACH LAND DATA TYPE</th>
<th>CS SCORE</th>
<th>CS %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How complete is the digital legal and policy framework?</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How complete is digital land tenure data</td>
<td>8/27</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How complete is digital land use data</td>
<td>2/12</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How complete is digital land development data</td>
<td>4/27</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How complete is digital land value data</td>
<td>5/36</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total land data completeness score 32%

Openness Scoring

Ten open data criteria are used to assess the openness of land data across each of the five land data types. These criteria are drawn from the methodologies used by the Open Data Index\(^\text{119}\) and the Open Data Barometer.\(^\text{120}\) For each criteria and each land data types the following openness scoring system is used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCORE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The digital data meets all or most of the open data criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The digital data meets more than half of the open data criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The digital data meets few of the open data criteria or there is digital land governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>There is no evidence of digital or open data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 presents the open data assessment for Liberia.

\(^\text{119}\) 2016/2017 Global Open Data Index methodology. [https://index.okfn.org/methodology/](https://index.okfn.org/methodology/)

\(^\text{120}\) Open Data Barometer: methodology. 4th ed. 2016, retrieved on 17 August 2023 from [https://opendatabarometer.org/4thedition/methodology/](https://opendatabarometer.org/4thedition/methodology/).
Table 1: Data Openness Score for Liberia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Legal</th>
<th>Land Tenure</th>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Land Value</th>
<th>Overall Score</th>
<th>Index Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Online</strong></td>
<td>Fully online</td>
<td>Slightly online</td>
<td>Partially online</td>
<td>Slightly online</td>
<td>Not online</td>
<td>Slightly online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accessible</strong></td>
<td>Partially accessible</td>
<td>Partially accessible</td>
<td>Partially accessible</td>
<td>Slightly accessible</td>
<td>Slightly accessible</td>
<td>Partially accessible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Free</strong></td>
<td>Fully free</td>
<td>Partially free</td>
<td>Slightly free</td>
<td>Partially free</td>
<td>Slightly free</td>
<td>Partially free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timely</strong></td>
<td>Partially timely</td>
<td>Slightly timely</td>
<td>Slightly timely</td>
<td>Not timely</td>
<td>Not timely</td>
<td>Slightly timely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metadata</strong></td>
<td>Partial use of metadata</td>
<td>Partial use of metadata</td>
<td>Partial use of metadata</td>
<td>Partial use of metadata</td>
<td>Slight use of metadata</td>
<td>Partial use of metadata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standards</strong></td>
<td>No use of standards</td>
<td>Partial use of standards</td>
<td>No use of standards</td>
<td>No use of standards</td>
<td>No use of standards</td>
<td>No use of standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Downloadable</strong></td>
<td>Partially downloadable</td>
<td>Not downloadable</td>
<td>Slightly downloadable</td>
<td>Partially downloadable</td>
<td>Slightly downloadable</td>
<td>Slightly downloadable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Open License</strong></td>
<td>Partial use of open license</td>
<td>No use of open license</td>
<td>No use of open license</td>
<td>Slight use of open license</td>
<td>No use of open license</td>
<td>No use of open license</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Machine Readable</strong></td>
<td>Not machine readable</td>
<td>Not machine readable</td>
<td>Not machine readable</td>
<td>Not machine readable</td>
<td>Not machine readable</td>
<td>Not machine readable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Linked Data</strong></td>
<td>No use of URI</td>
<td>No use of URI</td>
<td>No use of URI</td>
<td>No use of URI</td>
<td>No use of URI</td>
<td>No use of URI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall</strong></td>
<td>Partially open</td>
<td>Slightly open</td>
<td>Slightly open</td>
<td>Slightly open</td>
<td>Not open</td>
<td>Slightly open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percentage</strong></td>
<td>53</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Online (score 47)

The online availability of land data varies with the type of data. Regarding legislation, regulations, policies, and procedural information, this is almost all available, primarily on the webpages of the LLA. For other categories of information (land tenure, land use, land development or land value) comparatively little information is online. Where resources are available, they are mainly in static bibliographic text formats, including periodic reports, narrative documents, tables presented as text, or library databases holding this sort of information. There is no overall dataset of land tenure, and what information can be found concentrates on large natural resource concessions such as logging and mining. It has not been possible to find an authoritative land use map, and even official schedules of land cover and land use classifications differ (see Land Use section above). For land development and land value the only official information online describes roles, responsibilities, and processes rather than actual data.

Accessible (score 47)

The majority of resources that can be found online are openly accessible without the need for any registration or login credentials. Almost all legislative information is accessible, but in a proprietary format. Three of the four sources of spatial data – the CLIMT, National Concession Portal, and mining cadaster – are accessible to view (but not to download, see below). The fourth, the Forest Atlas, is restricted by a registration requirement. Other information, in the form of bibliographic texts, is accessible with one important exception: LISGIS, the national statistics agency, provides very little information online and has an online information request form that requires the applicant to state why they need the information.

Free (score 60)

The free (of charge) assessment closely mirrors the accessible criteria above, indicating that in all cases where information is accessible it is at no cost. It is not possible, however, to assess sites that require prior registration, but these sites do not mention costs.

Timely (score 27)

Most of the available bibliographic documents are legislative texts or one-off reports, which remain static and are less time critical. There are some periodic reports, such as the national annual budget, and LEITI reports for which new editions are regularly published. Of the online Liberian datasets, the CLIMT is actively maintained as it is monitoring a very live situation regarding the formalization of community land rights across the country. Others, particularly those supported under a dedicated development project, tend to fall into neglect once the initial impetus ends (for example the Liberia Forest Atlas, and the National Concession Portal). Amongst international rather than Liberian agencies, IATI data is continuously updated by its contributing development agencies, and FAO’s legislative database is updated a few times a year.

Metadata (score 60)

Almost every resource that could be found online had the minimum of metadata: author, data and publisher/source and therefore as individual items fully met this criterion. However, as discussed in the Online section above, few datasets were available at all, so overall scores for metadata are marked down to 'partially available'.

Standards (score 13)

Data standards are typically more suited to datasets and spatial information than to bibliographic texts but given the paucity of these types of data covering land issues in Liberia it is not unexpected that almost no information followed a standard format. Amongst the Government of Liberia sources, only land tenure data showed any compliance with standards: the spatial data used the Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI) ArcGIS platform, which publishes information on its standards here; and the mining cadaster is supported by the Revenue Development Foundation, which states the "design is refining a standard set". Amongst international agencies, global standards have been developed by the institutions themselves, such as FAO LCCS and IATI.

Downloadable (score 40)

Most bibliographic data and information are downloadable. The very few datasets that were found either do not offer downloads (for example the National Concession Portal), have restricted access minimizing the downloadable information (Liberia Forest Atlas), or are the product of international agencies (FAO, IATI). The mining cadaster, while a good example of a Liberia-owned interactive and spatial dataset, and is actively maintained, is not downloadable without a login. None of the downloadable information could be captured in bulk or automated through an API.

Open License (Score 20)

Most Liberia government websites do not offer license information beyond a copyright symbol in the footer of each webpage, suggesting the default is to not publish information under an open license. The exception is LEITI, which states "Unless otherwise noted, you may republish our content for free" in the footer of each webpage. The Liberia Forest Atlas, published on a website of the FDA, states this is under an open license, but requires a login and the details of how to obtain one are not provided. All legislation has been considered open by law, so does not need an explicit license.

Machine Readable (Score 0)

Given that bibliographic data is generally more difficult to render machine readable than databases, statistical tables, and spatial data, it follows that none of the land information identified and published by Liberian institutions is machine-readable. The only examples found were from international sources, such as land cover data from FAO and development...
assistance data from IATI. Tables and statistical data, where available at all (such as the national annual budget, LEITI reports, and a land cover / land use study by Metria AB and GeoVille GmbH on behalf of the FDA) are static “PDF” reports rather than in interactive databases and consequently are more difficult to render machine-readable.

Linked Data (URI, Score 0)

For those land data sources found online, none included a URI. Furthermore, for any online downloadable spatial, database or statistical information, i.e. the type of information that might more usually benefit from having a URI, the only sources were international, not Liberian institutions.

Overall (Score 31)

Online availability is the most basic criteria for the assessment of openness, and, reflecting this, land data is only Slightly Open in Liberia as little of it is available online at all. This scarcity is particularly the case for data as distinct from bibliographic descriptive texts – the latter are generally free to access, do not require prior registration, include a minimum of metadata, and are downloadable. Of the few datasets that are available, many present other obstacles to openness and interoperability, such as information being out of date, a lack of standard formats, restrictive user licenses, no ability for bulk downloads, APIs, machine-reading, and no URIs. Furthermore, some key sources, especially of spatial data, require prior registration, if not to view the data, then to download it.
Conclusions

Liberia has a robust legal framework for land governance and for openness, rooted in its constitution. Two key pieces of legislation, the 2018 Land Rights Law and the 2010 Freedom of Information Act, as well as others, commit the state to operate in a transparent and responsive manner with respect to land governance. Early implementation of the FOIA was promising, with an Independent Information Commission established to promote and support the public’s right to information. The law requires proactive publication of information as well as providing it in response to requests and is clear that the applicant does not need to provide any reason for their requests.

Like the FOIA, the LRL was a model piece of legislation, highly progressive for the region as it codifies the customary right of communities to own land collectively. These laws, many others, and regulations, policies, procedures etc. have not yet been fully implemented, however. The LLA has demonstrated a willingness to openness, primarily through the CLIMT portal on community land formalization, and there is evidence of efforts to digitize land title deeds. But there is no online land title register and no single online interactive, and up-to-date map that shows all the large natural resources concessions, protected areas, and other expropriated land in one place.

The mining cadaster is a good example of open information on permits, both spatial data and key attributes. It serves well as a model for other authorities to make concession and permit information available such as those for logging and large-scale agriculture, although it is unfortunate that a login is required in order to download the information.

In contrast, the lack of openness of information from the Forest Atlas and the Independent Information Commission, is of concern. There is strong evidence that spatial land cover/land use data produced under contract for the FDA was once available to all and is no longer. Information on individual forest management permits, and even the forest legal framework was once on the FDA’s website and are no longer available.

LISGIS appears to have a wide mandate to lead on a holistic statistical and spatial database system, but its website is sparse on data of any kind. Reports by others,\(^\text{126}\) and citations of LISGIS data, as well as the promised national census results, suggest that agencies such as LISGIS do collect and manage data internally, but they are not yet making it openly available online.

---

Finally, it is noted that many websites originate as outcomes from projects, which then end, and that more open information sources are produced by international organizations than by Government of Liberia agencies themselves. This suggests inadequate local ownership to drive sustainability of these sites, once external financial and technical support ends.

The reported lack of funding to maintain the Independent Information Commission and the withdrawal of land-related commitments from the latest OGP action plan indicates a trajectory of reduced transparency raising concerns that open government is receding in Liberia.
Recommendations

This section makes recommendations to be considered for improving open land data in Liberia and achieving the benefits of open data in the land sector. Implementing these recommendations can allow Liberia to change its open data trajectory and advance using open land data as a lever to create a more enabling environment for innovation.

Legal and Policy Reforms

- **Strengthen** Implementation of the FOIA through establishing frameworks to initiate the publication of land governance data on government websites.
- Develop clear and accessible procedures for government departments and citizens to be able to access public information on land governance.
- Enable the Independent Information Commission to drive these reforms and to track government performance in making data proactively available in compliance with the law.
- Apply the lessons learned (successes and failures) from the implementation and results of the OGP action plan ending in 2022 and set clear and achievable goals for land data openness in the next action plan.

Publish Available Land Data

- **Publish** open land governance data. Many Government of Liberia agencies already hold considerable land data, but this is rarely shared through online portals. Learn from the registry and map of mining permits hosted by the Ministry of Mines and Energy which can serve as an example to include all natural resources concessions given their centrality to Liberia’s land use and economy and the country’s troubled history of civil conflict over land rights.
- Clarify whether the authority and responsibility for collecting data and information in support of the delivery of land value functions and land development functions is under the auspices of LISGIS, or the Land Valuation Division of the LLA, and/or the LRA in its supervision of property taxes.
- Collate and make land value and land development data available for other government departments to support decision making and. Providing authoritative information to the public on enforcement of planning rules and conditions, compensation, dispute resolution and revenue generation would also better inform development planning and land transactions.
Data Release Frameworks

- Draft frameworks and agreements to coordinate access to land data between government agencies and departments. For example, many of the companies appearing in the list of mining permits are also reporting their financial and beneficial ownership information to LEITI, which publishes it, under an open license, in annual reports. The information LEITI holds could be integrated with that of the MME to provide more complete accountability and transparency for those developing Liberia’s natural resources.

- The LISGIS and the LLA have very closely related mandates regarding the administration of data on land tenure, land use plans and related spatial data. These agencies should coordinate, not only internally, but also in the public provision of this information in compliance with the FOIA.

Prioritize Land Data Needs and Objectives

- Key land data custodians should seek out and identify data across diverse public sector entities that could support land governance objectives and ultimately contribute to a more robust and effective open data ecosystem in Liberia.

- Identify and prioritize for publication of a minimum set of open land data and information resources corresponding to governmental and public needs for data.

Liberia was an early adopter in Africa of legislation to open up access to information as a matter of legal right. However, this leading role is at risk and progress in opening up data and information in Liberia has not been assured. Implementing these recommendations will allow Liberia to drive forward sustainable social and economic development founded upon readily available land data and information. Land data and information that meets the requirements for completeness and openness can support land management and the provision of land related services in Liberia.